JACKSON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION’S
ADMINISTRATION OF ADULT EDUCATION GRANTS
FROM THE COUNCIL ON POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION
AND KENTUCKY ADULT EDUCATION

For The Period
July 1, 2003 Through June 30, 2004

CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404
TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050
FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067
July 8, 2005
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Council on Postsecondary Education
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Frankfort, KY 40601

Ralph Hoskins, Superintendent
Jackson County Board of Education
P.O. Box 217
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McKee KY 40447

Re: Adult Education Grants

Dear Mr. Stagnolia and Mr. Hoskins:

This report contains the results of the performance audit of Jackson County Board of Education’s administration of the Adult Education Grant for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2004. The Council on Postsecondary Education and Kentucky Adult Education contracted with us to conduct performance audits of selected local adult education providers. This report represents our findings, recommendations, and the provider’s responses.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with applicable standards contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America.

We greatly appreciate the courtesies and cooperation extended to our staff during the audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen
Auditor of Public Accounts
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Council on Postsecondary Education and Kentucky Adult Education (KYAE) selected the Jackson County Board of Education (local provider) for a limited scope performance audit of its administration of the Adult Education and Family Literacy Grant for Jackson County. An on-site review was conducted on May 4 through May 7, 2005 to address the following objectives:

- Reconcile student and faculty data electronically submitted to Adult Education with the information retained by the local provider.
- Determine whether the local provider’s professional development activities comply with applicable professional development policies and procedures.
- Determine whether local providers’ expenditures and costs comply with the terms of their grant agreement and reconcile to invoices submitted.
- Report internal control weaknesses identified during our audit that relate to the audit’s objectives.

To accomplish these objectives, the performance audit team reviewed the Policy and Procedure Manual for Kentucky Adult Education, as well as the provider’s grant proposal and final agreement with KYAE. During the on-site review, the audit team reviewed the provider’s accounting records (trial balance/general ledger), interviewed program administrators regarding internal controls related to the above grants, and tested samples of participant files, personnel files, and expenditure documentation for compliance with applicable requirements. Below is the summary of findings:

FINDINGS SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FINDING</th>
<th>Disallowed Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four (4) participant files did not contain evidence of establishing a goal.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twenty-three (23) participant files contained information (goals) that did not agree with the electronic information reported to KYAE.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twelve (12) participant files did not contain evidence of an initial assessment test.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) participant’s file did not contain documentation that their Official Practice Test scores averaged 450 prior to taking the GED.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) participant’s file did not contain evidence to support that goals were met.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A television was purchased for $599 but was not recorded as inventory.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINANCIAL REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

The KAE-10 Expenditure Reports were compared to the line item budget approved in the final agreement modification. This comparison was used to determine whether the provider’s reimbursements complied with the approved budget.

The KAE-10 Expenditure Reports were then compared to the internally generated financial records maintained by the local provider. This comparison was used to determine whether the local provider’s accounting records support the amounts requested for reimbursement on the KAE-10 Expenditure Reports.

Findings

No exceptions noted.
PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY AND RECORD KEEPING

Scope and Methodology

A total of 60 participants were selected randomly from the electronic student data sent from KYAE and compared to the documentation maintained in the participant files. We examined the files for the following:

- Proper eligibility documentation.
- Proper assessment testing.
- Evidence to support the achievement of goals/objectives.
- Agreed the establishment and achievement of goals/objectives to the information reported to KYAE.
- Proper separation from the program when applicable.
- Other requirements were tested based upon the applicable program requirements.

The participants tested received services during the period of July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2004.

Findings

Proper file maintenance and accurate data entry is important to support and document the success of a provider in meeting eligibility requirements and goal achievement. CPE and KYAE require that providers administer the initial assessment at orientation, or within a short period thereafter, and shall use the lowest test score in a subject area to determine a learner’s entry level and eligibility. Programs are to maintain assessment forms on file for three years. The following exceptions were noted:

- Four (4) participant files contained no evidence of establishing a goal.
- Twenty-three (23) participant files contained information (goals) that did not agree with the electronic information reported to KYAE.
- Twelve (12) participant files that did not contain evidence of an initial assessment test.
- One (1) participant’s file did not contain documentation that their Official Practice Test scores averaged 450 prior to taking the GED.
- One (1) participant’s file did not contain evidence to support that goals were met.
Recommendations

We recommend the provider create a checklist for participant files to facilitate a periodic review of the contents to ensure that the required documentation is being maintained. All initial assessments and any achievement of goals/objectives should be documented and maintained. The person entering participant data should require documentation for any data entry performed on a participant and any deficiencies should be reported to the Program Director.

Provider Response

The Director will take a more active roll in evaluating student folders and ensure short-term goals are established within 20 hours initial assessments are completed.

Separations will be documented by a form along with tracking of all students for 6 months and postsecondary for 12 months. Diligence and internal audits of student folders will alleviate these problems.
PAYROLL AND STAFF REQUIREMENTS

Scope and Methodology

A sample of 15 payroll disbursements, representing 22% of the total payroll expenditures, was judgmentally selected for payroll testing. Personnel files were examined to verify that staff had received the required professional development training hours and had completed an Individual Professional Development Plan if applicable. Timesheets were examined for existence and approval. Personnel files were examined to verify evidence of the instructor’s bachelor’s degree. A determination was made as to whether the employee was included in the staff listing information reported to KYAE.

Findings

No exceptions noted.
PURCHASING/EXPENDITURE COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

A sample of 7 expenditures, representing 31% of the total purchases, was selected judgmentally from the detailed general ledger. These expenditures were tested for authorization/approval, supporting documentation, proper recording, and that it was an actual expense during the grant period of July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2004.

Findings

CPE and KYAE require that an annual Inventory Report listing non-consumable items with a useful life greater than one year. A television was purchased for $599 but was not recorded as inventory.

Recommendations

We recommend that an accurate listing of inventory should be maintained and submitted to KYAE.

Provider Response

The person responsible for the inventory list just did not place this item on the list. This was a mistake after reviewing the list. I have spoken to the employee and that a quarterly audit of inventory will in the future keep this from happening again.
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT REIMBURSEMENT COMPLIANCE

Scope and Methodology

Professional Development expenditures were tested for proper authorization, supporting documentation, and adherence to approved rates and reimbursement policies. A sample of 3 expenditures, representing 28% of the total professional development expenditures, was selected judgmentally from the detailed general ledger.

Findings

No exceptions noted.
INTERNAL CONTROLS RELATING TO GRANT

Scope and Methodology

Jackson County Board of Education’s fiscal administrator was given an Internal Control Questionnaire regarding the controls in place for cash disbursements, bank reconciliations, revenue, expenditures, and payroll. The questionnaire was completed by the fiscal administrator and reviewed for any significant control deficiencies.

Findings

No significant control deficiencies noted.